

DRC
SITE PLAN REVIEW AND COMMENT
REPORT

Division: Airport

Member: Alex Erskine
954-828-4966

Project Name: MNTA, Inc./Verizon Monopole
Tower

Case #: 46 R 03

Date: June 10, 2003

Comments:

1. A Notice of Proposed Construction or Alteration form (7460-1) must be filed with the FAA and a determination of no hazard to air navigation issued since the proposed cell tower penetrates the imaginary surfaces around Fort Lauderdale Hollywood International Airport.
2. A second Notice of Proposed Construction or Alteration form (7460-1) must be filed with the FAA for any construction crane or equipment that will exceed the height of the tower.

DRC
SITE PLAN REVIEW AND COMMENT
REPORT

Division: Engineering

Member: Elkin Diaz
Project Engineer
Office Ph. (954) 828-5123
Office Fx: (954) 828-5275
Email:
elkind@cityfort.com

Project Name: MNTA, Inc./Verizon Monopole
Tower

Case #: 46 R 03

Date: June 10, 2003

Comments:

1. Engineer of record shall apply for the applicable general or surface water management license from Broward County Department of Environmental Protection (BCDPEP). Submit this license with signed and sealed drainage calculations and surface water management design with owner's application for a Building Permit. The documents shall be in compliance with County Chapter 27 and South Florida Water Management District's (SFWMD) criteria, as applicable.
2. The property shall be abstracted for easements and other matters of title. All easements and other matters of title affecting the property shall be shown on a sketch of survey dated no later than 90 days prior to the date of submittal. The abstract of title shall be no older than 90 days and shall be referenced on the sketch of survey and supplied prior to requesting final DRC authorization.
3. The plans labeled "Zoning Approval Plan" appear to need a new title. "Proposed Site Plan" appears to be a better selection.
4. Please prepare parking calculations based on the requirements listed in Section 47-20 of the City Code.
5. Parking spaces shall be appropriately designed and dimensioned on the site plan.
6. The area called "Concrete Driveway" on the Parent Tract Detail of the Zoning Approval Plan (1 of 5) seems to indicate proposed parking which backs out onto S.W. 30 Street. Back-out parking is not permitted by City Code for this use.

DRC
SITE PLAN REVIEW AND COMMENT
REPORT

Division: Fire

Member: Albert Weber
954-828-5875

Project Name: MNTA, Inc./Verizon Monopole
Tower

Case #: 46 R 03

Date: June 10, 2003

Comments:
N/C

DRC
SITE PLAN REVIEW AND COMMENT
REPORT

Division: Info. Systems

Member: Mark Pallans
(GRG)
954-828-5790

Project Name: MNTA, Inc./Verizon Monopole
Tower

Case #: 46 R 03

Date: June 10, 2003

Comments:

1. **APPLICATION REJECTED** - This proposal documentation does not fulfill the requirements of Section 47-18.11 of the City Code of Ordinances. In particular the applicant must address the issues listed in Section 47-18.11D2, and Section 47-18.11D3a-j prior to receiving approval.

Recommendations:

1. Resubmit application including the materials and data required per the City Code of Ordinances Section 47-18.11.

DRC
SITE PLAN REVIEW AND COMMENT
REPORT

Division: Landscape

Member: Dave Gennaro
954-828-5200

Project Name: MNTA, Inc./Verizon Monopole
Tower

Case #: 46 R 03

Date: June 10, 2003

Comments:

1. Provide a cluster of tall palms (such as Washingtonia palms) in the vicinity of the tower. The number of palms should be a minimum of 5; the staggered height sizes should be 15'-25' clear trunk.
2. Any landscape maintenance requirements apply (if applicable) to the landscape previously installed under a permit issued in 1998. This will be verified at time of permit inspection.

DRC
SITE PLAN REVIEW AND COMMENT
REPORT

Division: Planning

Member: Don Morris
954-828-5265

Project Name: MNTA, Inc./Verizon Monopole Tower

Case #: 46 R 03

Date: June 10, 2003

Comments:

1. It is strongly recommended that these plans be presented to representatives of the Edgewood Civic Association.
2. Provide a text narrative that shows how this proposal meets Adequacy Requirements of Section 47-25.2.
3. Provide the names, addresses and telephone numbers of all owners and the location of other towers or usable antenna support structures within one-half (1/2) mile radius of the proposed new tower site, including city-owned property (Section 47-18.11.D.3.a).
4. Provide an affidavit demonstrating that a diligent effort was made to secure permission to install or collocate the applicant's telecommunications facilities on towers or usable antenna support structures owned by the City or other persons located within a one-half (1/2) mile radius of the proposed tower site (Section 47-18.11.D.3.b and c).
5. Provide a point-by-point narrative addressing the criteria of Section 47-18.11.D.3.d through h, Communication towers, structures and stations.
6. Provide full-color photo-simulations showing the proposed site of the tower with photo-realistic representations of the proposed tower as it would appear viewed from nearby residential properties and from adjacent roadways (Section 47-18.11.D.3.i).
7. Provide information regarding the power density of the telecommunications facility and how the facility meets the FCC's regulations on the environmental effects of radio frequency emissions (Section 47-18.11.D.3.j).
8. Provide a site data table showing all proposed and required setbacks for the project.

DRC
SITE PLAN REVIEW AND COMMENT
REPORT

9. Show adjacent structures and uses on the site plan.
10. Show required parking on site plan.
11. Verify location of proposed curb cut with engineering representative. All private drives shall comply with engineering standards (47-20.5 (B)).
12. Provide a five (5) foot sidewalk along S.W. 30th Street.
13. Improvements in the public right-of-way shall adhere to engineering standards (i.e. curb cuts, sidewalks and drainage facilities). Discuss standards with engineering representative.
14. Landscaping shall conform to Section 47-21. Discuss landscape improvements and street tree spacing with landscaping representative.
15. Response to all comments must be provided within 90 calendar days or the project may be subject to additional DRC review.
16. Additional comments may be forthcoming.

DRC
SITE PLAN REVIEW AND COMMENT
REPORT

Division:	Police	Member:	Det. Gary J. Gorman 954-828-6421
Project Name:	MNTA, Inc./Verizon Monopole Tower	Case #:	46 R 03
Date:	June 10, 2003		

Comments:

1. Will there be a security fence/gate at project openings?
2. Will this fence/gate be electronically controlled by card access system? If not, how will access to project be controlled?
3. All lighting should conform to standards set by the IESNA (Illumination Engineers Society of North America).
4. Will this site have any Monitored CCTV or an on-site security system?
5. Will all landscaping be installed so as to provide unobstructed view of site?
6. Will this site and tower in any way hinder emergency services communications?
7. **Please submit comments in writing prior to DRC sign-off.**

DRC
SITE PLAN REVIEW AND COMMENT
REPORT

Division: Zoning

Member: Terry Burgess
954-828-5913

Project Name: MNTA, Inc./Verizon Monopole
Tower

Case #: 46 R 03

Date: June 10, 2003

Comments:

1. Provide a narrative outlining how the proposed communications tower complies with the adequacy requirements of section 47-25.2.
2. Provide a narrative outlining how the proposed communications tower complies with the requirements of section 47-18.11.D point by point.
3. Provide full color photo-simulations showing the proposed site of the tower with photo-realistic representations of the proposed tower, as it would appear viewed from nearby residential properties and from adjacent roadways pursuant to section 47-18.11.D.3.i.
4. Provide a complete site plan delineating all parking for existing and proposed uses on the development site.
5. The proposed fence/wall shall be setback three (3) feet from the property line abutting a street pursuant to section 47-19.5.B.2.
6. All mechanical equipment shall be setback five (5) feet from the property line pursuant to section 47-19.2.S.
7. Additional comments may be discussed at DRC meeting.